No.

CR-08 00114

FFB 9 7 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NOT HER DOIS RICT OF CALIFORNIA

# E-FILING UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COURT OF CALIFORNIA

# NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**SAN JOSE DIVISION** 

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

## **SHERRY GEHRUNG**

## **INDICTMENT**

**COUNT ONE**:

18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1346 - Wire Fraud

A true bill.

JW.

Kamana Wills
Foreperson

Filed in open court this 27 day of Flbruary

A.D. 200⊈

Micio V Minilal Judge
United States Magistrate Judge

Bail. \$ 10 bail (west warrant

DOCUMENT NO. CSA'S INITIALS

DISTRICT COURT CRIMINAL CASE PROCESSING

Filed JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) 1 United States Attorney E-FILING 2 3 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 00114 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1346 -Plaintiff, 13 Wire Fraud ٧. 14 SHERRY GEHRUNG, SAN JOSE VENUE 15 Defendant. 16 17 INDICTMENT 18 19 The Grand Jury charges: Introduction 20 At all time relevant to this Indictment: 1. 21 Sherry Gehrung was employed by Alza Corporation ("Alza") as an 22 a. administrative assistant and worked in Alza's Mountain View, California facility. 23 Alza had its principal place of business at 1900 Charleston Road, Mountain b. 24 View, California, within the Northern District of California. Alza is a pharmaceutical research and 25 development company that is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson. Alza provided a 26 corporate credit card to Gehrung for the purpose of paying for business-related travel and expenses 27 28 for Gehrung and other employees. INDICTMENT

Document 1

Filed 02/27/2008

Page 2 of 5

Case 5:08-cr-00114-JW

c. American Express was the issuer of the corporate credit card Alza provided to Gehrung ("the Alza American Express Card"). When the Alza American Express card was used to buy goods and services in the Northern District of California, authorization information from the card and the transaction details were sent electronically in interstate commerce from the purchase location in California to American Express in Phoenix, Arizona.

### The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

2. Between no later than February 24, 2007, and continuing to on or about October 13, 2007, Gehrung fraudulently charged a variety of personal expenses on the Alza American Express credit card, including, but not limited to, paying for a 1968 Ford Mustang and 1969 Chevrolet Camaro automobile, the restoration of those automobiles, cosmetic surgery, a Hawaii and Tahiti cruise vacation, a granite kitchen countertop, a residential air-conditioning unit, and American Express gift cards, knowing full well that she was not authorized to do so.

## <u>COUNT ONE</u>: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 & 1346 – Wire Fraud)

- 3. The factual allegations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 4. On or about and between February 24, 2007 and October 13, 2007, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendant,

#### SHERRY GEHRUNG,

did devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud Alza as to material matters, and to obtain money by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and to deprive Alza of its right to the honest services of its employees.

5. On September 25, 2007, within the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendant, for the purpose of executing said scheme and artifice to defraud, did knowingly cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate commerce certain writings, signs, signals, and pictures, to wit, an authorization request to an American Express facility in Phoenix, Arizona, for a payment totaling \$6,100 to the Mustang Ranch, a car restoration business in Santa Clara, California. American Express authorized the transaction and sent this information

#### INDICTMENT

Document 1

Filed 02/27/2008

Page 4 of 5

Case 5:08-cr-00114-JW

INDICTMENT

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)  DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☑ INDICTMENT ☐ SUPERSEDING	Name of District Court, and/or Judge Hagistrate Location NORTHERN DISTRICT (E.CALI ONIA)
Count 1: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1346 - Wire Fraud	DEFENDANT - U.S. FEB 2 7 2008
Fraud  E-FILING  Minor  Misde- meanor  Felony	SHERRY GEHRUNG RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT COURT NUMBER OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE
PENALTY: 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000 fine, 3 years supervised release, Restitution, \$100 special assessment fee	R-08 00114 W
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (&Title, if any)	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
U.S. Secret Service	
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	Is a Fugitive     Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrP 20, 21 or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. Att'y Defense this prosecution relates to a	5) On another conviction 6) Awaiting trial on other Fed'l State charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE prior proceedings or appearance(s) CASE NO.	Has detainer  Yes
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on THIS FORM  JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  U.S. Att'y  Other U.S. Agency	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not  Month/Day/Year  TO U.S. CUSTODY  Month/Day/Year
Name of Asst. U.S. Att'y (if assigned) JOSEPH FAZIOLI	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS	
PROCESS:  SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT Bail Amount: NONE  If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance *Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons	
Or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment  Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time:Before Judge:
Comments:	